

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,700	03/07/2002	Sheila Hamilton	7407879-052490	9422	
75	90 03/29/2004		EXAMINER		
David S Resni	ck		CHIN, RA	NDALL E	
Nixon Peabody			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
101 Federal Str Boston, MA			1744		
 ,			DATE MAII ED: 03/20/200	DATE MAILED: 03/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

6	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/070,700	HAMILTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Randall Chin	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37:CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· •						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
	 Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 7</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
_	ar.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Eveniner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		onlication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.					
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>030702</u> . 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On p.2, line 7, the recitation "... systems. System can malfunction." should be corrected. On p.2, line 29, it is suggested to clarify the phrase "the or each cleaning roller" for grammatical reasons. On p.5, line 25, it appears "lower cleaning roller 24B" should read –lower cleaning roller 23B--.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. In claim 1, it is suggested to clarify that the "web cleaning means" in the preamble is related to the "first cleaning roller" on line 4 in the body of the claim.

In claim 5, line 2, it is suggested to amend the phrase "the or each" for grammatical reasons.

In claim 6, it is suggested to clarify what "the several rollers" are on line 2 for clarity.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,700

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EPA 0 214 741 (hereinafter EPA '741).

The EPA '741 reference discloses with respect to claim 1 a "web guidance system" which incorporates web cleaning means, the system (Fig. 3) comprising at least one "guiding roller" 20 controllable to effect guiding of the web 4, and at least a first cleaning roller 10 having an outer surface coated with a material having a degree of tackiness capable of removing particulates from a surface of the web (p.3, lines 31-34). It should noted that sheet article 4 is being considered a "web", however, such "web" has never been positively claimed and therefore has not been accorded patentable weight. EPA '741 recites that roller 20 can be driven by an electric motor in conjunction with control panel 9 to rotate roller 20 and is therefore considered "controllable" in a sense. Clearly, roller 20 inherently would serve to "guide" web 4 to an extent.

As for claims 2 and 3, roller 20 ("guiding roller") also serves as a cleaning roller (p.3, lines 31-34) and therefore is a "second cleaning roller" having an outer tacky surface for removing particulates from the web 4.

As for claim 5, each cleaning roller 10, 20 is provided with a respective backup roller 12, 22 arranged to engage the cleaning rollers 10, 20 and having a surface coated with a material having a degree of tackiness greater than that of each cleaning roller for removing particulates from the cleaning rollers (p.4, lines 6-16).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,700

Art Unit: 1744

As for claim 6, the rollers are mounted for rotation about parallel roller rotation axes in a common casing or frame 1 (Fig. 1). As for the recitation "the frame being rotatable about a frame rotation axis which is perpendicular to said roller rotation axes", such recitation only requires the ability to so perform and is not deemed to constitute a positive limitation in any patentable sense. In other words, the entire casing or frame 1 is "rotatable" manually, if so desired, about a frame rotation axis which is perpendicular to said roller rotation axes.

Page 4

As for claim 8, the cleaning takes place at the "upstream" (left set of rollers 10, 20 in Fig. 3) side of the system. Note input station is 2 and output station is 3 in Fig. 3.

As for claim 9, the cleaning takes also can take place at the "downstream" (right set of rollers 11, 21 in Fig. 3) side of the system. Note input station is 2 and output station is 3 in Fig. 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Lindsay, Wieloch, and Korbonski are each pertinent to tacky roller configurations for cleaning debris off "webs".

Application/Control Number: 10/070,700

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden, can be reached at (571) 272-1281. The number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Chin

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744